

## M54 to M6 Link Road TR010054

# 8.8 LIU(I) Draft Statement of Common Ground with Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin

APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 8

January 2021



#### Infrastructure Planning

#### Planning Act 2008

## The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

## M54 to M6 Link Road Development Consent Order 202[]

### 8.8 LIU(I) Draft Statement of Common Ground with Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin

Regulation Number	Regulation 5(2)(q)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme	TR010054
Reference	
Application Document Reference	8.8 LIU(I)
Author	M54 to M6 Link Road Project Team and
	Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
1 (P02)	November 2020	Issue to ExA for Deadline 1
2 (P03)	January 2021	Issue to landowner for comment
3 (P04)	January 2021	Issue to ExA for Deadline 4



#### STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared and agreed by (1) Highways England Company Limited and (2) Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin.

Signed
Andrew Kelly
Project Manager
on behalf of Highways England
Date: [DATE]

Signed..... [NAME] [POSITION] on behalf of Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin

Date: [DATE]



#### **Table of contents**

Cha	pter	Pages
1	Introduction	1
1.1	Purpose of this document	1
1.2	Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	1
1.3	Terminology	1
2	Record of Engagement	3
3	Issues	6
3.1	Introduction and General Matters	6
3.2	Issues	6
	of Tables	
	e 2-1: Record of Engagemente 3-1: Issues	
iabi	C J-1. 188UC8	

#### **List of Appendices**

Appendix A: Initials and details of individuals involved

Appendix B: Draft plan indicating accommodation works



#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground ('SoCG') has been prepared in respect of an application for a Development Consent Order ('the Application') under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 ('PA 2008') for the proposed M54 to M6 Link Road ('the Scheme') made by Highways England Company Limited ('Highways England' or 'HE') to the Secretary of State for Transport ('Secretary of State').
- 1.1.2 This SoCG does not seek to replicate information which is available elsewhere within the Application documents. All documents are available on the Planning Inspectorate website.
- 1.1.3 This SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority where agreement has been reached between the parties, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached. SoCGs are an established means in the planning process of allowing all parties to identify and so focus on specific issues that may need to be addressed during the examination.
- 1.1.4 This version of the SoCG has been drafted by Highways England based on correspondence with Mr Ian Simkin and Mr Adrian Simkin during the development of the Scheme and records Highways England's current understanding of the matters agreed and not agreed.
- 1.1.5 The first draft (1(P02)) was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on 03 November 2020 and was provided to Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin and their agent on 26 October 2020. Comments received on 03 November 2020 have been incorporated into the second draft (2(P03)). Further additions have been made following a meeting on site with the landowner on 16 December 2020 and a follow up call on 05 January 2021, with an updated draft SOCG provided on 06 January 2021. This version of the draft 3(P04) incorporates comments received from Ian & Adrian Simkin on 08 January 2021. Highways England will continue to work to finalise the contents of this SoCG at the earliest opportunity as the Application proceeds through the Examination process.

#### 1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) Highways England as the Applicant and (2) Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin ('Landowner').
- 1.2.2 Highways England became the Government-owned Strategic Highways Company on 1 April 2015. It is the highway authority in England for the strategic road network and has the necessary powers and duties to operate, manage, maintain and enhance the network. Regulatory powers remain with the Secretary of State. The legislation establishing Highways England made provision for all legal rights and obligations of the Highways Agency, including in respect of the Application, to be conferred upon or assumed by Highways England.
- 1.2.3 Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin are the freehold owners of plots 6/37,6/23, 6/25,6/29, 6/30 & 6/31 as identified on the Land Plans (Application documents reference 2.2) and in the Book of Reference (Application document reference 4.3).

#### 1.3 Terminology

1.3.1 In the tables in the Issues chapter of this SoCG, 'Not Agreed' indicates a final position. 'Under discussion' indicates where points will be the subject of ongoing discussion wherever



- possible to resolve, or refine, the extent of disagreement between the parties. 'Agreed' indicates where the issue has been resolved.
- 1.3.2 It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in the Issues chapter of this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance to Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin, and therefore have not been the subject of any discussions between the parties. As such, those matters can be read as agreed, only to the extent that they are either not of material interest or relevance to Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin.





#### 2 Record of Engagement

2.1.1 A summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between Highways England and Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin in relation to the Application is outlined in Table 2-1.

**Table 2-1: Record of Engagement** 

Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed
12/10/2017	Meeting	Meeting to discuss potential land take requirements, route options and current land use.
22/02/2019	Meeting	Meeting to discuss proposed route and land take requirements prior to statutory S42 consultation.
26/04/2019	Letter from GH to landowner	Land Interest Questionnaire issued
23/05/2019	Letter pack from Gateley Hamer (GH) to landowner	S42 consultation pack. Included Land Interest Plans showing areas of land ownership and areas of land that may be required for the Scheme and the Order limits. The draft Environmental Masterplan was also made available online, indicating initial thoughts on areas required for environmental mitigation.
03/10/2019	Letter from GH to landowner	Land by agreement letter sent.
11/11/2019	Letter sent from GH to landowner	Supplementary consultation documents arrived with landowners including revised plans showing areas proposed for permanent and temporary land acquisition. Also included links to revised Environmental Masterplan and General Arrangement Plan to help explain the reasons for land acquisition.
02/12/2019	Meeting	Meeting to discuss supplementary consultation and permanent/temporary land take requirements.
06/12/2019	Email from GH to CB	Following meeting, un-registered land boundaries were identified and amended in revised plan issued following meeting.
09/12/2019	Letter from GH to landowner	Letter and revised plan issued to landowner
24/01/2020	Letter from HE to landowner and agent	Letter providing scheme update and suggesting preparation of SoCG.
28/01/2020	Letter from land agent	Summary of objections and representations.
30/01/2020	Email from GH to CB	Confirmation of updated land interest schedule



17/03/2020	Letter and Notice from HE to landowner and agent	Section 56 letter and Notice notifying persons of accepted Application arrived with landowner and agent.
17/04/2020	Letter and email from HE to landowner and agent	Updated Section 56 letter and Notice notifying persons of accepted Application and extension of relevant representative period due to Covid19.
15/07/2020	Email to land agent	Email with following attachments; Letter containing response to landowner/agent representations, Heads of Terms and Mitigation Technical Note.
29/07/2020	Email to agent	Notification of change request.
21/08/2020	Letter from HE to landowner and agent	Supplementary consultation letter sent.
07/09/2020	Email from SLB to SB	Question raised regarding environmental masterplan key.
14/09/2020	Email from SB to SLB	Proposed draft land plans sent to landowner and agent with additional information on plan key indicating soil storage area and meeting invitation to land agent.
15/09/2020	Email from SLB to SB	Confirmation of receipt of draft plans and confirmed contact would be in due course should their clients wish to have a meeting.
21/09/2020	Consultation response via online response form	Response to consultation on proposed DCO changes, via online response form.
26/10/2020	Email from TF to CB	Issue of draft SoCG and notice of intention to submit draft SoCG to the Examining Authority.
29/10/2020	Email from SLB to TF	Acknowledgement of SoCG and confirmed comments would not be provided in time of the submitted draft SoCG to the examining authority due to annual leave.
03/11/2020	Email from SLB to TF	Comments received following receipt of draft SoCG.
01/12/2020	Call from CB to SB	Call received to discuss land take requirement query due to a possible discrepancy between the land plans and BoR.
09/12/2020	Email from SB to CB	On-site meeting invite to discuss practical concerns raised within SoCG issued on 26/10/2020.
09/12/2020	Call from RR to CB	Call to discuss environmental master plan and land plan query.



10/12/2020	Email from RR to CB	Follow up email from previous day's call, stating project team's intention to reach agreement to correct the land plans and meeting invite with three possible dates listed.
16/12/2020	Site meeting with CB, IS, AS, SLB and RR	Site meeting to discuss details to be agreed and an agreement on the proposed land plan correction.
22/12/20	Email from RR to CB	Providing minutes of meeting with responses to detailed queries and request for agreement to proposed land plan changes.
29/12/20	Email from CB to RR	Providing additional queries to close out comments raised at site meeting.
05/01/21	Call with CB, RR and AK	To discuss points raised and agree to update status of draft SoCG prior to obtaining agreement to land plan change.
06/01/21	Email from RR to CB	Providing updated draft SoCG and request for agreement to proposed land plan changes.
08/01/21	Email from CB to RR	Providing comments on draft SOCG and agreement to proposed land plan changes

2.1.2 It is agreed that this is an accurate record of the key meetings and consultation undertaken between (1) Highways England and (2) Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin in relation to the issues addressed in this SoCG.



#### 3 Issues

#### 3.1 Introduction and General Matters

3.1.1 This chapter sets out the 'issues' which are agreed, not agreed, or are under discussion between Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin and Highways England.

#### 3.2 Issues

3.2.1 The table below shows those matters which have been agreed or yet to be agreed by the parties and the date and method by which it was agreed (if relevant).

Table 3-1: Issues

Issue	Document	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely? (app) <sup>1</sup>	Agreement likely? (IP)
Proposed environmental mitigation	Land agent's Relevant Representation RR-033 and letter received from Bagshaws on 28/01/2020 Further discussed at site meeting on 16/12/20	The permanent acquisition of plots 5/26, 5/27 and 6/25 for environmental mitigation is objected to, as the comprises an arable field parcel of Land Classification Grade 3a. The loss of good quality agricultural land is contrary to scheme guidelines and the NPPF.	Plot 6/23 is required for a number of Works (35, 36 and 39) which all relate to the realignment of M6 Junction 11 and the construction of the new M6 Junction 11 circulatory carriageway. This land is also required for works to realign the existing PRoW Saredon 13.  Plots 5/26, 5/27 and 6/25 were required permanently for ecological mitigation. However, as a result of further ecological surveys and changes to the design to reduce the ecological impact	Under discussion	High	High

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indication on likelihood that the matter will be agreed by the close of the Examination period as rated by the applicant (app) and the Interested Party (IP). Dark green = agreed, light green = high likelihood of agreement, orange = medium likelihood of agreement, red = low likelihood of agreement.



The permanent acquisition of plots 5/26, 5/27, 6/25 and 6/23 for environmental mitigation is objected to as it is considered excessive for the offsetting of only approximately 0.79 ha of arable land being taken for the road construction and we do not accept that the extent of the land proposed to be taken for mitigation, at 3.504 ha, is necessary and proportionate for environmental mitigation.

of the Scheme, HE has proposed to change the Environmental Masterplan [proposed changes versions AS-086 to AS-092/6.2] so that environmental mitigation is no longer present on these plots and plots 5/26 and 5/27 and the majority of plot 6/25 (as indicated on [AS-065/2.2]) are therefore not required to be acquired on a permanent basis. The northern part of plot 6/25 (as indicated on [AS-065/2.2]) is still required; part of which is required permanently for construction of the M6 Junction 11 and part of which is required temporarily for soil storage during construction. This temporary section would be reinstated to its previous condition and returned to the landowner after construction.

One reason that this land was selected for removal from the Environmental Masterplan was in recognition of its status as Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land as highlighted by Messrs Simkin' representation made on 28/01/2020 as well as Natural England.

An error identified with the Land Plans [AS-065/2.2] has been corrected, with the change accepted into the



		Examination on 7 January 2021. The revised Land Plan is document [AS-127/2.2], and shows the area of the northern section of Plot 6/25 (as indicated on [AS-065/2.2]) as permanent acquisition plot number 6/38.			
Land agent's Relevant Representation RR-033	If it is held that land has to be taken for environmental mitigation, then we would welcome the opportunity to discuss terms of the retention of the freehold, but subject to a management agreement for the management of the land in an environmentally beneficial way to help satisfy environmental mitigation requirements of the scheme.	The design changes submitted on 9 October 2020 were accepted by the Examining Authority on 29 October 2020, therefore no land owned by lan and Adrian Simkin would be permanently acquired for the sole purpose of environmental mitigation.	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed
Land agent's response to the consultation on changes to the DCO	(With regards to Change 7) We agree with the proposed reduction in order limits at this location, which	The Environmental Masterplan published for consultation on 24 August 2020 showed a pink/ purple outline on plot 6/25. This area would be used for temporary soil storage during the construction of the Scheme. This	Under discussion	High	High

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010054

Application Document Ref: TR010054/APP/8.8LIU(I)



eastern section of my	Environmental Masterplan issued with		
client's land at plot	the Scheme changes on 9 October		
6/25. In addition, we	2020.		
welcome the removal			
the species-rich	As recognised by the landowner, the		
grassland habitat	area covered by plot 6/25 located to the		
creation across the	south-east of M6 Junction 11 is		
whole plot, following the	proposed to be significantly reduced by		
review of the extent of	the Scheme changes. This means that		
mitigation across the	plot 6/25 is significantly smaller on the		
scheme. On review of	revised Land Plans submitted on 9		
the Environmental	October 2020 than in the current Land		
Masterplan overview	Plans [AS-007/2.2].		
revision plan, the			
remainder of plot 6/25,	Clarification on the purpose of the area		
which remains to be	outlined in pink/ purple was provided		
acquired temporarily,	via email to the land agent on 14/09/		
has an unexplained	2020. It was also annotated on the		
outlined area within,	plan published on 24 August showing		
absent of a reference to	the changes to the Masterplan		
it on the legend.	(comment EM3).		
Therefore, we cannot			
comment any further			
until such time as			
clarification of what the			
pink outlined area			
represents is provided			
to us, which was			
requested from HE on			
the 7th September			
2020.			



Compensation	Letter from Bagshaws, 28/01/2020	'Our clients have been approached by a number of developers for commercial development of this land and as such would be claiming hope value if permanent acquisition is required.'	Compensation values will be determined by the District Valuer.  Highways England sent draft Heads of Terms to the landowners dated 15/07/2020 and received comments on 03/11/2020. Highways England are currently re-drafting the Heads of Terms to reflect the Scheme changes.	Under discussion	Medium	Medium
Land take requirements	Land agent's Relevant Representation RR-033 and letter received from Bagshaws dated 28/01/2020 Further discussed at site meeting on 16/12/20	Messrs Simkin object to the acquisition of [6/23] where there is the proposed acquisition of the entire road frontage of the field parcel along the A460 with no provision for access and turning agricultural vehicles or for any other future uses.	Highways England will seek to retain access to the adjacent land from the lay-by. The land take along the A460 is required to accommodate any changes to the existing layby. As per the current scenario, 3 <sup>rd</sup> party land ownership will be maintained from the back of the layby with access provided to match the current arrangement.  Further to the site meeting on 16  December 2020 a draft arrangement has been drafted (refer to Appendix B) and is under discussion	Under discussion	High	High
Grazing requirements	Letter from Bagshaws, 28/01/20. Also mentioned in land agent's Relevant Representation	The new scheme boundary of 6/23 and 6/20a to be used temporarily in the road scheme, will negate the ability of the remaining field area to be used for	Please note that plot 6/20a (as identified during the November 2019 Supplementary Consultation) is now plot 6/37 in the Land Plans (P02) submitted as part of the Application.	Under discussion	High	High

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010054

Application Document Ref: TR010054/APP/8.8LIU(I)



	Further discussed at site meeting on 16/12/20	arable production, due to the small and unworkable shape created. This field may accordingly only be suitable for grazing and as such will require the provision of a water supply.  I&A Simkin confirmed that this plot currently has a water supply pipe fed from plot 6/29 and 6/30 (underneath the A460)	Plot 6/37 (formerly 6/20a) is required temporarily for a working area alongside the existing slip road, which is to be realigned.  Highways England will amend the existing water supply as part of the works to provide a like for like private water supply and will continue to discuss accommodation works with landowners as the project progresses.			
Bridleway realignment	Letter from Bagshaws, 28/01/20	Plot 6/31 is shown as a narrow blue line on the road scheme plan and detailed in your interest schedule to be required temporarily and rights to be acquired permanently. We can only assume this relates to the proposed position of a public bridleway, however, it does not appear to sit in the correct position on the Draft Environmental	Plot 6/31 is in the correct position.  The blue shaded area indicated the rights area required for the realignment of the bridleway. This is indicated as a corridor to include the horizontal limits of deviation for the adjacent highway which accounts for the slight variation in marked location. The proposed location is as per the Draft Environmental Masterplan (as presented at November 2019 supplementary consultation) which currently sits within Plot 6/23 however as the route runs alongside the bottom	Under discussion	Medium	Medium

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010054

Application Document Ref: TR010054/APP/8.8LIU(I)



	Masterplan dated 8 <sup>th</sup>	of the embankment it is necessary to			
	November 2019,	provide a corridor to account for the			
	revision PO9. When	horizontal limits of deviation therefore			
	scaled off the bridleway	the blue area shown is the edge of the			
	was in an entirely	corridor.			
	different position to that				
	shown on the road				
	scheme plan.'				
Land agent's	(With regards to	The bridleway is a PRoW and the	Under	High	High
response to the	Change 7)	Applicant is required by policy and	discussion	9	9
consultation on	We note the previously	legislation to retain connectivity of			
changes to the	objected to acquisition	PRoW where possible. Lack of use is			
DCO, also	of plot 6/31, for the	not sufficient justification by itself to			
raised in land	provision of a	extinguish a PRoW.			
agent's	bridleway, Saredon				
Relevant	BW13, which	The Scheme seeks to maintain existing			
Representation	terminates at M6	levels of non-motorised user			
RR-033 and	Junction 11, still	connectivity as a minimum requirement.			
letter received	remains as	The route currently connects into M6			
from Bagshaws	part of the scheme on	Junction 11 where crossing facilities are			
dated	both the revised land	provided to enable connectivity over the			
28/01/2020	plans and	M6. The Scheme proposes to improve			
Further	Environmental	the crossing facilities for non-motorised			
discussed at	Masterplan overview	users at M6 Junction 11. This route			
site meeting on	revision plan. The	provides a connection between M6			
16/12/20	Bridleway is not used,	Junction 11 and Great Saredon			
	and has been unused	therefore it is anticipated that this route			
	for many years, it is	will be required to be re-instated and			
	considered dangerous	upgraded, where required, to an			
	and therefore, we feel	acceptable standard to address any			
	unnecessary to be	safety concerns.			
	reinstated within the				



road scheme,	Further to the site meeting on 16		
especially as the	December 2020 a draft arrangement		
increased road noise	has been drafted (refer to Appendix B)		
and proximity to traffic	and is under discussion. Highways		
will not be expected to	England confirms that the bridleway		
increase its use. As	could be provided as a margin		
part of the	alongside the new field boundary, with		
Environmental	a double gate at the southern end.		
Statement (ES), the	a ve anne gane an me comment anna		
2017 walking, cycling			
and horse riding (WCH)			
survey			
results showed no			
recorded users of this			
particular public right of			
way for the duration of			
the data collection			
period (Environmental			
Statement Chapter 12			
[TR010054/APP/6.1]).			
The Environmental			
Statement Chapter 2			
[TR010054/APP/6.1]			
sets out that the			
Environmental			
Masterplan includes			
measures to 'ensure			
the connectivity of			
PRoW and other routes			
used by pedestrians			
and cyclists are			
maintained', this is in			



opposition with the survey results. The route, Saredon BW13 is not used, as evidenced in Chapter 12 of the ES and therefore should be removed, as opposed to realigned, as part of The Scheme. No		
been provided by HE to justify the acquisition of these rights.  At the site meeting, the landowner requested that if a bridleway is to be retained, it is not fenced off and runs alongside the boundary fence (within the landowners' retained field) with a double gate at the southern end to		
prevent livestock escaping.		



Rationale for	Land agent's	Messrs Simkin object to	Highways England can confirm that	Under	Medium	Medium
permanent land	Relevant	the acquisition of plots	plots 6/29 and 6/30 are being required	discussion		
take	Representation	6/29 and 6/30 as we	for Work no. 39 which is for the			
	RR-033 and	consider them to be	realignment and widening of the A460			
	letter received	surplus to the scheme	southbound by a single lane from the			
	from Bagshaws	and they are not being	M6 toll merge to M6 Junction 11 and			
	dated	acquired for the	the widening of the A460 northbound			
	28/01/2020	development, or	carriageway by a single lane from M6			
	Further	incidental to, the	Junction 1, tapering back down to two			
	discussed at	construction of the	lanes ahead of the M6 Toll overbridge			
	site meeting on	highway. They are	as set out within the Statement of			
	16/12/20	situated to the Eastern	Reasons [APP-021/4.1]. No			
		side of the hedge from	environmental mitigation is proposed on			
		the highway and upon	either parcel. Both plots are required			
		reference to the Works	for the construction of the highway.			
		Plans will not be				
		impacted by, or	Further to the site meeting on 16			
		necessary for, the	December 2020 Highways England			
		scheme. A narrow strip	explained that some flexibility is			
		of land will be acquired	required to enable the detailed design			
		for species rich	to be provided within the Limits of			
		grassland	Deviation. Surveys will be undertaken			
		Environmental	during the detailed design stage to			
		Mitigation which will be	confirm if the existing hedge and fence			
		impractical (and	boundary can be retained.			
		virtually impossible) to				
		manage on an ongoing				
		basis.				



New Access to plot 6/25	Discussed at site meeting on 16/12/20	I&A Simkin noted that the access to this field is off the back of the layby on the A462. Access can be problematic due to vehicles parking in the layby. I&A Simkin noted that construction access to the plot would be to the west of the layby and requested that this is made into a permanent access	Highways England confirmed that this may be possible subject to agreement with SCC (a draft arrangement has been drafted (refer to Appendix B)). I & A Simkin confirmed they would be happy with a single 5m gate as shown in Appendix B.	Under discussion	High	High
Protection to temporary acquisition plots (plot 6/25)	Discussed at site meeting on 16/12/20	IS&AS asked if matting will be provided to protect the field prior to storing topsoil	To be confirmed.	Under discussion	High	
Error in plot boundary	Discussed at site meeting on 16/12/20	I&A Simkin noted that a small section of the land (indicated blue below) is in I&A Simkin's ownership	Highways England has used land plot boundary information from the Land Registry. This is currently being reviewed, however if it is confirmed that the land plot boundary information obtained from the Land Registry is erroneous in this location, this will be corrected. This area is identified for permanent acquisition and would remain so following any change. The	Agreed (if land transferre d back to I&A Simkin)	Agreed	Agreed



		alteration would be a minor alteration to the plot boundary only.  If required, the Land Plans and Book of Reference would be updated to show the area marked blue within the ownership of I & A Simkin and submitted at Deadline 6.			
Articles and Requirements	N/A	Messrs Simkin have no comments to make on the Articles or Requirements on the draft DCO.	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed



#### Appendix A: Initials and details of individuals involved

Initials	Name	Role or Discipline	Organisation
AK	Andrew Kelly	Project Manager	Highways England
СВ	Christine Baggott	Landowners representative	Bagshaws
IS	Ian Simkin	Landowner	Landowner
AS	Adrian Simkin	Landowner	Landowner
GH	Gateley Hamer	Scheme representative	Gateley Hamer
JH	Jon Harvey	Stakeholder manager	AECOM
RR	Rob Ramshaw	AECOM Project Manager	AECOM
SB	Sam Blaize	Principal Surveyor	Gateley Hamer
SD	Simon Davis	District Valuer	Valuation Office Agency
SLB	Suzanna Layton- Busch	Landowners representative	Bagshaws
ТВ	Tom Bennett	Previous stakeholder Manager	Amey



#### Appendix B: Draft plan indicating accommodation works

